

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/044,502	01/10/2002	Axel Scherer	Q135	9281	
759	90 08/22/2003				
Daniel L. Dawes Myers, Dawes & Andras LLP 19900 MacArthur Blvd, Ste 1150			EXAMINER		
			VY, HUNG T		
Irvine, CA 92612			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2828	_	
			DATE MAILED: 08/22/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

at .	Application No. Applicant(s)						
	10/044,502	SCHERER, AXEL					
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Hung T Vy	2828					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondenc address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply sis specified above, the maximum statutory period will. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06/2	<u>0/2003</u> .	·					
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	l)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	· ·						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•					
Attachment(s)		·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
2.0							

_Application/Control Number: 10/044,502

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

1. In response to the amendment filed on 06/20/2003, claims 1-20 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "nanocavity array", and "predetermined spectral response" render the claim indefinite because it is unclear what is "nanocavity" means. The claim does not recite laser structure, how can the claim define nanocavity. It is not clear how to lithographically formed and predetermined spectral response of each nanocavity.

Regarding claim 9, the phrase "modulator" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear what is "modulator". The claim fails to recite the structure of modulator.

Regarding claim 10, the phrase "photonic crystal is formed in active quantum well material" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear how to form in active quantum well material.

_Application/Control Number: 10/044,502

Art Unit: 2828

Regarding claim 16, the phrase "means for changing optical or electrical properties of said nonlinear optical material" renders the claim indefinite because it is not clear how to provide optical or electrical.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 ,7-14, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U. S. C. § 102 (b) as being anticipated by O. Painter et al., Science Vol. 284.

Regarding claims 1-5, 7-14, and 16-20, O. Painter et al. disclose a compact electrical and optically pumped multiwavelenght nanocavity array comprising a plurality of nanocavities (See p 1819, column 3 and column 1, p 1820), each nanocavity defined in a photonic crystal (See p 1820, column 1) in which each nanocavity is lithographically formed to define a corresponding predetermined spectral response of each nanocavity, said plurality of nanocavities forming said array (See fig 1). Photonic crystal is formed in active quantum well material (See p 1820, column 2, first paragraph). Nanocavities are vertical cavity surface emitting lasers (see p 1919, column 3). The array of photonic crystals comprising a silicon slab waveguide (See p 1820, column 1, second paragraph)

Page 4

-Application/Control Number: 10/044,502

Art Unit: 2828

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth insection 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over O. Painter et al., Science Vol. 284, in view of Tanguary, Jr. et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,568,574.

Regarding claims 6, and 15, O. Painter et al. disclose all limitation of compact electrically and optically pumped multiwavelength nanocavity array but admission do not disclose the detector array. However, Tanguary, Jr, et al. disclose the dectector array (76a, 81a) (See fig 11)

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify O. Painter et al. to have detector array as taught by Tanguary, Jr, et al. because those skilled in the art will recognize that such modification and variations can be made without departing from the spirit of the invention.

• Application/Control Number: 10/044,502 Page 5

Art Unit: 2828

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed on 6/20/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to applicant's response of the 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, applicant fails to fix the problems in the claims instead of just point out the scientific literature and the definition as recited in the specification. The applicant argues the "nanocavity" as defect in an array of holes with have been lithographically formed in a photonic crystal. But in the claim language, the claim does not disclose any thing what the applicant argument as "defect in an array of holes". Further, claims fail to recite the structure of device. The examiner gives the broadest interpretation of the claim. Applicant's argument about an array comprising a plurality of nanocavities is not correct because Painter discloses a plurality of nanocavity as "the ability to fabricate compact lateral microcavities is important." (p 1820, first paragraph).
- 6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/044,502

Art Unit: 2828

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung VY whose telephone number is (703) 605-0759. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 am - 5:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul IP can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

QUYEN LEUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER

Hung T. Vy Art Unit 2828

January 10, 2003